A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Maceira Rozas, María del Carmen. Cantero Muñoz, Paula. Endoprótesis de gel AqueSys XEN Gel. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico (avalia-t) 2018. Consultas Técnicas; CT2018/01 Aviable from: https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/767/CT201801AqueSysXen.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	María del Carmen Maceira Rozas
Email	avalia-t@serga.es

Item						,	Yes	Partl	у	No	
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							Χ				
2. Authors identified?							Χ				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							Χ				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?						Χ					
5. Short summary in non-technical language?										Χ	
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?								Х			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Χ				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?								Х			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							Χ				
How?											
10. Details on so	urces of	informatio	n and literature	search	n strategies p	rovided	<u>ነ?</u>				
Search	Databases		Year				imary		Other kind of		
strategy			range	re	estriction	(data informat				
YES	ΥI	s l	YES		YES	\	YES '			YES	
Complete referer	ce list		List of		Inclusion		Exclusion			n	
of included stu	dies		ded studies		criteria		criteria				
YES			YES		YES		YES				
11. Information or							ta and				
extraction described? met			l appraisal (for quality		Method of data synthesis described?		Results of the assessment clearly				
			ment of the	synthesis described?		Jeu :	presented, eg, in the form				
) described?				of evidence table				
YES		•	YES		PARTY		YES				
Context?											
12. Information of											
(Medico-) legal		conomic		Ethical		Social		Other perspectives			
implications considered?		nalysis ovided?	implication considere				ceholders, patients,				
NO		PARTY	NO	u:	NO	ou!	consumers) considered NO			siueieu :	
Version 4. July 2007		- WLII	I NO		INO	Co	NO povright INAHTA Secretariat 200				

What then?	Yes	Partly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	Х		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	X		
15. Suggestions for further action?	Х		